

10.00am, 06 September 2012, Teleconference

Present: Akatu Marsters, Belinda Walker, Joleen Turnbull (HealthShare), Maureen Emery (Lakes), Luis Villa (MHN), Sue Mackersey (BOP), Dr Graham Mellsop, Dr Rees Tapsell, Jeff Bennett (Waikato) and Te Pare Kingi-Meihana (Tairāwhiti)

Apologies: Eseta Nonu-Reid, Michael O'Connell & Marita Ranclaud

No.	Topic	Discussion Points	Planned Action	By
1.0	Whakatau / Welcome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Graham welcomed everyone to the teleconference 		
1.1	Approval of Minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Previous minutes were discussed 		
1.2	Matters Arising	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All matters arising were tabled as agenda items for this teleconference 		
2.0	AGENDA ITEMS			
2.1	Letter to CDs & Mgrs re HoNOS Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ In the interim Te Pou are releasing a much better HoNOS report that analyses and explains the data ▪ Lakes and Tairāwhiti have invited Te Pou to do some work based on the HoNOS ▪ Discussion held around the practical use of the Te Pou reports, and whether as a region we should adopt the same benchmarking as National KPI's? ▪ Regional reports to come to this group to compare: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Regional commonalities ○ Benchmarking nationally ○ Casemix control ○ Contextualise the data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Check with Te Pou to see if they are able to compare data regionally & nationally 	Belinda
2.2	Letter to Salvation Army re Data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This has been clarified with Waikato PMgrs ▪ Waiting to receive the data 		
2.3	Invite NDSA re KPI Project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Update was presented at the National CDs and Managers meeting - MRCCG feels there isn't a need for Ian to attend November meeting to give an update ▪ Midland need to discuss and determine the use of the data and shape how this will look in the future from a Midland perspective – consistency ▪ 2009 to 2011 KPI data is based on benchmarking ▪ The MHC is visiting Lakes DHB using the KPI data to benchmark services, some of this data needs to be contextualised – need to ensure the data is 		

No.	Topic	Discussion Points	Planned Action	By
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> being used the right way ▪ What can the HDC use data for? 		
2.4	Ashburn Hall re Admin Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This was discussed by Sue and Eseta and Midland cannot provide admin support due to workload ▪ Sue requested that Lyn Ririnui be acknowledged for the work she is doing for this regional service (thank you letter not financial) ▪ Letter to be drafted and signed off by Graham acknowledging Lyn for supporting this function 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Draft, sign and send 	Akatu / Graham
2.5	Midland Health Network re Formal Report Letter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Formal updates/feedback on the progress of the business cases ▪ Updates on other health initiatives not limited to mental health ▪ Luis is happy to provide these updates 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agenda item for next meeting (regular) 	Akatu
2.6	Response to Midland PMgrs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The PMgrs met and discussed the “structure” within the MRCGN Terms of Reference ▪ Change to the structure will be made based on the discussion with PMgrs and will be tabled at the next MRCGN face to face meeting 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Agenda item for November meeting 	Akatu
2.7	EOI Panel Outcome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ As agreed at the 16 August teleconference Marita, Maureen & Michael ratified the EOI’s the following three successful members have been elected: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ David Benton – Addictions ○ Missy Katipa – NGO ○ Joanna Jastrebka – Adult / Workforce 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Notify applicants and invite successful members to future meetings 	Eseta / Akatu
2.8	Te Pou Response Letter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ This has not been progressed re Invitation to November meeting ▪ Group felt that an invitation to the next face to face is insufficient at this time as we need to be clear about what we are wanting from people who attend our meetings 		
2.9	Forensic Stakeholder Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Report is still a work in progress, will be circulated once completed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Circulate report when available to MRCGN ▪ Sue to contact Rees directly for further information 	Rees
2.10	Forensics Briefing Paper to GMs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Tabled and embedded with the agenda ▪ Reminder: Midland is the only region nationally that has no capacity ▪ Regional planners & funders are included as part of the sub-regional agreement so discussion will be occurring in that arena ▪ Need to begin the discussion within DHBs involving CDs to consult and come to agreement ▪ Needs to ensure boundaries and processes are developed going forward ▪ The term “Bed Blocking” was discussed and would like this use of language 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide progress update at next meeting – agenda item 	Rees / Akatu

No.	Topic	Discussion Points	Planned Action	By
		<p>to stop, as this sort of translation is unhelpful</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IDF transfers – All three beds have Waikato people ▪ PMgr has sent out DHB's individual NHI numbers to GM's, ▪ Discussion around needing more details however need to discuss boundaries first (CD's will discuss this further and organise a face to face meeting to be instigated by Rees. 	Rees to organise F/F for all CD's	Rees
2.11	Quarter 4 – Regional Outcome Report	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Tabled and embedded with the agenda for your information ▪ This is the accepted format by NHB and MoH ▪ This report is also discussed by the Midland Clinical Services Stakeholders group which Graham attends on behalf of the MRCGN 		
2.12	General Items	<p>Review of Health Share Network</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ It was voiced at an earlier meeting by Cathy Cooney and evaluation of the network was occurring – would like to know the outcome of this evaluation <p>Decision Making</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Youth Forensics allocation – where is the involvement of the MRCGN in this decision making process? ▪ The MRCGN should be a functional network otherwise could lose function. ▪ Chairman suggested that we position ourselves with key players moving forward <p>Workforce</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Some of the established regional educational forums are not coordinated by Midland regional network, is there an option for these to come under regional umbrella, ie: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ ED Forum – 3 monthly education forum ○ DAO forum ○ MHSOP ○ Maternal Mental Health ▪ What is the role of the Midland Workforce coordinator? ▪ What is Te Pou's Role? ▪ What is the Interface between both Te Pou and National WF Centres & the Workforce Coordinator role? <p>Clinical Director Appointed Lakes DHB</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rick Thompson appointed to position ▪ Congratulate Rick on behalf of the MRCGN 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Eseta to advise at next meeting ▪ Agenda item at next meeting – Eseta to give clarification / update ▪ Request for Midland WF Coordinator – where would these fit in role? (Workplan) ▪ Agenda item for next meeting ▪ Voice congratulations 	<p>Eseta</p> <p>Eseta</p> <p>Joleen</p> <p>Akatu</p> <p>Maureen</p>
3.0	Meeting Concluded	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 11.05am 		
3.1	Next Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Teleconference on 04 October – Dial in: 083032 Pin: 531483 		